



Acoustical Evaluation of Machine Noise Using A and C Decibel Weighting

Felix Ugbana Nte*

*Environmental Physics; Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State,
Nigeria*

Email: felix.nte@uniport.edu.ng

Abstract

The study on Fast and slow mode, maximum and minimum readings using sound level, meter was conducted. Sound which is a mechanical disturbance is a wave function in the elastic medium, with a degrees of variance in terms of structural or electromagnetic wave forms, both of which obeys the Broglie theory which states that

$$MV = \frac{hv}{c}$$

Where MV represents the moment of the particle, h= planks constant= 6.625×10^{-27} , 'C' velocity light = 3×10^8 M/S and 'V' the radial frequency of the wave attributed to the particle which are critical in Health safety management. This study is to evaluate the acoustic A and C weighting at the science and Engineering workshop machines, of the University of Port Harcourt as index. The matrix covers the fast and slow mode, maximum and minimum noise level readings. The findings using Pearson correction are indicated in table 1, which shows some level of significant difference between the fast and slow mode and maximum and minimum readings. This finding demands that field instrument operators should understand when to use the A and C weight and when to set on minimum and maximum rating, for greater efficiency.

Keywords: Acoustics; Decibel Weighting; Sound Pollution.

* Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

The source of noise in machine works to a large extent determines its acoustical characterization. These sources include:

- Mechanical/Shock between solids and block
- Unbalanced rotating equipment “Misalignment
- Friction between moving parts and cuttings
- Irregular fluid flow due to constriction and aerodynamic and electrical pneumatic source. The transmitted sound can be air or structure borne, it can be radially or linearly transmitted along strip The equipment in use tries to aggregate the various frequency bound into the. Leq (equivalent continuous sound level): This is constants sound level in dBA that lasting for a time ‘T’ would have produced the same energy in same time period ‘T’ as an actual ‘A’ weighted noise.

Thus $L_{eq} = 20 \log_{10} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{p(t)}{p_0} dt \right)^2$

Where P= root mean square (rms) of sound pressure in (N/M^2)

P = International reference pressure of $2.0 \times 10^{-5} N/M^2$

FURTHERMORE:

$$L_{pt} = L_{ps} + 20 \log(n) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Where L_{pt} = total Sound pressure level (dB), L_{ps} = sound pressure level from each single source (dB) and n= number of sources

1.1. Other major definitions useful to this study

$L_{Aeq,T}$: The equivalent steady sound level in dBA containing the same acoustic energy as the actual fluctuating sound level over the given period, T.

L_{Amax} : The maximum RMS, A-Weighted sound pressure level occurring within a specified time period; the time weighting fast or slow is usually specified.

Rating level (L_{ArT}) : The specific noise level, plus any adjustment for the characteristic features of the noise.

Residual noise: The ambient noise remaining at a given position in a given situation when the specific source is suppressed to a degree such that it does not contribute to the ambient noise (residual noise level is measured in

terms of $L_{Aeq,T}$.

Root Mean Square (RMS):

The RMS value of a set of numbers is the square root of the average of their squares. Please see Fig 1. Showing a typical generator fluctuating frequency band and the need for a root mean square value.

Sound Exposure Level (SEL or LAE): The is the measure of the A-Weighted sound energy used to describe noise events such as the passing of a train or aircraft.

Specific noise level: A component of the ambient noise which can be specifically identified by acoustical means and may be associated with a specific source.

Time-weighting: One of the averaging times (Fast, Slow or Impulse) used for the measurement of root mean square (RMS) sound pressure level in sound level meters.

Sound pressure level for n number of source or machines

Sound pressure level (SPL) or sound level is a logarithmic measure of the effective sound pressure of a sound $PRMS^2$ relative to a reference value. It is measured in decibels (dB) above a standard reference level.

Thus:

$$L_p = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{Prms^2}{Pref^2} \right) = 20 \log_{10} \left(\frac{Prms}{Pref} \right) \quad \text{dB} \dots\dots\dots$$

For n number of sources,

$$L_{\Sigma} = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left(\frac{P1^2 + P2^2 + \dots\dots\dots Pn^2}{Pref^2} \right) = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left(\left(\frac{P1}{Pref} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{P2}{Pref} \right)^2 + \dots\dots\dots \left(\frac{Pn}{Pref} \right)^2 \right) \text{ Where } L_z \text{ is the resultant sound.}$$

Please see table 1. Indicating resultant value of decibel addition for 2 or more sound sources.

1.2. Past studies on sound impact

Few persons who carried out studies on Noise include [2–12].

1.3. Aim

The aim of this study is to add into the data bank quality assurance and quality control data in field instrumentation particularly when it entails summing up sound from different sources.

Table 1.0: rules for combining noise levels

When two decibel values differ by	Add the following to the higher decibel value:
0dBA	3.0dBA
2dBA	2.5dBA
3dBA	2.1dBA
4dBA	1.8dBA
5dBA	1.5dBA
6dBA	1.2dBA
7dBA	0.8dBA
8dBA	0.6dBA
9dBA	0.5dBA
10dBA	0.4dBA

https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/adding-decibel-d_63.html

2. Method

The methods include a generator and machine sound source, and an analytical tool to test the degree of variance between A and C weighting. CEL 231 and CEL 254 digital noise level meter with A,B,C D, weighting and impulsive noise respectively was used, with emphasis on the maximum and minimum readings. The statistical tool is descriptive statistics for correlation using [13].

3. Result and Discussion

The results are shown in tables 2 and 3=]’ thus

Descriptive statistical, graphical method correlation and t-test statistics were employed to operational noise against the blocks in terms of significant difference.

The characterization reported by Ogoke and his colleagues (2013) which range as follows: 0.00 to 0.20 (Slight), 0.21 to .40 fair), 0.41 to 0.60 (Moderate), 0.61 to 0.80 (Substantial), 0.81 to 1.00 (Almost perfect), also called level of reliability.

(1) The intra relationship between Fast Max and Fast Mm; Slow Max and Slow Mm; Average Fast dBA and Average Fast dBC for the A and C weighing and (2) for the A and C weighing are done in Table 1.0 using Pearson Correlation.

Table 2.0: Correlation Analysis

Paired Samples Statistics	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Correlation (p-values)	Level of reliability
Fast Max dBA Reading	88.3071	13.16975	3.51976	0.798(0.001***)	Substantial
Fast Max dBC Reading	90.0643	8.00554	2.13957		
Fast Min dBA Reading	71.4357	12.88491	3.44364	0.913(0.000***)	Almost Perfect
Fast Min dBC Reading	76.5214	11.51936	3.07868		
Slow Max dBA Reading	92.0786	10.14018	2.71008	0.839(0.000***)	Almost Perfect
Slow Max dBC Reading	89.8214	7.33875	1.96136		
Slow Min dBA Reading	67.9429	14.71298	3.93221	0.893(0.000***)	Almost Perfect
Slow Min dBC Reading	75.4143	9.74686	2.60496		
Average Fast dBA Reading	79.8821	12.43931	3.32454	0.920(0.000***)	Almost Perfect
Average Fast dBC Reading	83.3000	9.39167	2.51003		
Average slow dBA Reading	80.0179	12.13515	3.24325	0.910(0.000***)	Almost Perfect
Average slow dBC Reading	82.6214	8.08350	2.16041		

Footnote: sig. at *=10%, **= 5% and ***= 1%

The result in Table 2.0 shows significance (almost' perfect) relationship between fast Min dBA Reading, Fast Min dBC Reading, Slow Max dBA Reading and Slow Max dBC Reading; Slow Min dBC Reading and Slow Mm dBC Reading; Average Fast dBA Reading and Average Fast dBC Reading; Average slow dBA Reading and Average slow dBC Reading except Fast Max dBA Reading and Fast Max dBC Reading; Fast is Substantial.

Table 3.0: t-Statistic Reading Fast, Slow, Average (Max and Mm) dBA and dBC

Paired Samples Test	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		t- statistic (Sig. (2-tailed))
Fast Max dBA Reading - Fast Max dBC Reading	-1.75714	8.31927	2.22342	-6.56055	3.04626	-0.790 (0.444)
Fast Min dBA Reading - Fast Min dBC Reading	-5.08571	5.26145	1.40618	-8.12359	-2.04784	-3.617 (0.003***)
Slow Max dBA Reading - Slow Max dBC Reading	2.25714	5.63720	1.50661	-.99768	5.51197	1.498 (0.158)
Slow Min dBA Reading - Slow Min dBC Reading	-7.47143	7.44760	1.99046	-11.77155	-3.17131	-3.754 (0.002***)
Average Fast dBA Reading - Average Fast dBC Reading	-3.41786	5.29908	1.41624	-6.47745	-.35826	-2.413 (0.031***)
Average slow dBA Reading - Average slow dBC Reading	-2.60357	5.83515	1.55951	-5.97269	.76555	-1.669 (0.119)

Footnote: sig. at* =10%, **=5%and ***

Table 3.0 show that there is significant difference between Fast Min dBA Reading - Fast Min dBC Reading; Slow Min dBA Reading - Slow Min dBC Reading and Average Fast dBA Reading - Average Fast dBC Reading at 1%, 5% and 10% levels. Hence, the operational noises a dBA against the operational dBA noise rating are significant for fast min, slow min and average min readings.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

Acoustical evaluation of machine noise using the A and C weighting, fast and slow mode, for maximum and minimum level is to find out the validity of our selected mode of measurement. The finding is that there is a significant difference between the A and C mode of measurement, the maximum and minimum reading but correlation of the fast and slow reading which shows some degree of validity. The recommendation is that the operators of the equipment should adhere to the standards to ensure proper quality control.

References

- [1]. "Adding Decibels." Internet https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/adding-decibel-d_63.html* [4/4/2019].
- [2]. FEPA (1991) Federal Environmental protection Agency, Guide lines and standards.
- [3]. F.U. Nte. Environmental Physics Innovations. LAMBAT, Germany , 2017.
- [4]. V.B Omubo-Pepple, C. Israel-Cookey, O.I Alaminokuma. " The Neglected Dimensions." Medwell Journals, Environ. Res1., 6 (4), pp. 259-2 71, 2012. ISSN: 1994-5396.
- [5]. M.U. Onuu, A.I. Menkiti, J.O. Essien. "Spectral Analysis of Industrial Noise in Calabar, Nigeria." Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 2, pp.239-247, 1996.
- [6]. O.I. Owate, G.O. Avwiri, G.E. Ogbiri. "Studies of Noise Reduction Techniques Using Sound Barrier Systems." International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences (Scientia Africana), 4 (12), pp.60-66, 2005.
- [7]. A.A. Saadu. " Community and Occupational Noise Survey and Analyses of Some Selected Nigerian Cities and Industries." Ph.D Thesis, University of Benin, Nigeria.
- [8]. N. Singh, S.C. Daver. "Noise Pollution: Sources, Effects and Control." J. Human Ecol. (Delhi, India), 16, pp.18 1-187, 2004.
- [9]. A.P. Smith, D.E. Broadbent. " Non-auditory Effects of Noise at Work: A Review of the Literature. H.S.E Contract Research Report No 30". London: HMSO, 1992.
- [10]. Springer (2013) "Sinusoid" Encyclopedia of mathematics, Retrieved December 8: 2013,

- [11]. A.H. Suter. "Noise and Its Effects. Administrative Conference of the United States , 1991. Retrieved from <http://www.nonoise.org/library/suter/suter.htm>.
- [12]. J.U. Ugwuanyi, I. Ahemen, A.A. Agbendeh. "Assessment of Environmental Noise Pollution in Markurdi Metropolis, Nigeria." *Zuma J. Pure Applied Sci.*, 6: 134-138, 2005.
- [13]. U.P. Ogoke, E.C. Nduka, O.E. Biu, C. Iheachu. "A Comparative Study of Foot Measurements Using Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC)." *International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences (Scientia Africana)*, 12 (1), pp.76-88, 2013.