



---

## Rotational Bands of Pu<sup>236-244</sup> Isotopes

Nafie H. O.<sup>a</sup>, ALaseri S. M.<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Physics Department, Faculty Of Science University of Benha (Egypt)

<sup>b</sup>Physics Department, Faculty Of Science University of Tabuk (KSA)

### Abstract

By taking into consideration the second order of softness parameter, the rotation vibration model (RVSM) is modified which is denoted by MRVSM. Using the exponential model (EXPOM,) (RVSM), and (MRVSM), the ground rotational bands for **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> isotopes** are calculated. The predicted results of MRVSM, RVSM and EXPOM models are compared with experimental data. We find that our calculated results for **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> isotopes** are in close agreements with experimental data.

**Keywords:** rotational bands; variable moment of inertia (VMI); angular momentum; softness parameter ( $\sigma$ )

### 1. Introduction

The energy states of the ground band for deformed nuclei are described by the formula [1,2,3]

$$E(I) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\theta_0} I(I+1) \quad (1)$$

Take into consideration the effect of rotation -vibration, Equation (1) becomes

$$E(I) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\theta_0} I(I+1) + B[I(I+!)]^2 \quad (2)$$

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sh\_2007ma@hotmail.com.

The experimental data deviate from predicting results of Equation (2), for that many efforts are made to improve this formula. e.g. R.K .Gupta [ 4, 5,6,7,8] considered the concept of variation of moment of inertia with angular momentum "softness of nuclear matter" to modify the last formula Equation (2) Also there are many models are proposed to predict the ground state bands like harmonic vibrator model VMI , An harmonic vibrator model AVAM , General vibrator model GVMI, exponential model EXPO [ 9,10,11 ], and etc..; In this article we used the concept of softness of nuclear mater up to second order in modifying Equation (2) , which is denoted MRVSM model (in the article [1] it is taken up first order RVSM ) . We used the MRVSM, RVSM, and EXPOM models to calculate the ground state energies for **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> isotopes**. We find that the predicting results of MRVSM, RVSM and EXPOM models are in close agreement compared with the experimental data.

## 2. Results and discussion

According to references [5,6] suggestion the moment of inertia  $\theta(I)$  can be written as

$$\theta(I) = \theta_0 (1 + o_1 I + o_2 I^2 + o_3 I^3 + \dots) \quad (3)$$

Where  $\theta_0$  is the moment of inertia at  $I=0$  , and  $\sigma_n$  is the softness parameter

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{\delta^n \theta(J)}{\delta J^n} \right|_{J=0} \quad (4)$$

$$n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Considering the  $\theta(I)$  up second order, then  $\theta(I)$  becomes

$$\theta(I) = \theta_0 (1 + o_1 I + o_2 I^2) \quad (5)$$

Substituting  $\theta(I)$  from Equation (5) in Equation (2); we get:

$$E(I) = \frac{AI(I+1)}{\theta_0(1 + o_1 I + o_2 I^2)} + B[I(I+1)]^2 \quad (6)$$

Where  $A = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\theta_0}$  ,  $B$  ,  $o_1$  and  $o_2$  are fitting paramters

We also calculate the ground state of rotational band of **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> isotopes** by using the exponential model EXPOM [8]. This is written as:

$$E(I) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\varphi_0} I(I+1) \exp\left[-\Delta_0 \left(1 - \frac{I}{I_c}\right)\right]^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

Where  $\frac{\hbar^2}{2\varphi_0}$ ,  $\Delta_0$  and  $I_c$  are fitting parameters.

The predicted energies as given by Equation (6) and Equation (7) are compared with the experimental data. By using least square fitting, and excitation energies of experimental data, the parameters A, B,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  for MRVSM model Equation (6) are given as in Table (1) for **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> isotopes**.

**Table1:** Fitted parameters of MRVSM as shown in Equation (6) for **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> Isotopes**

A	B	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_2$	Dev	Nucleus
7.952E-03	-9.1765E-012	4.956E-010	-8.819E-03	3.7716E-05	Pu <sup>244</sup>
7.703E-03	4.931E-012	9.528E-10	0.847E-02	-1.996E-04	Pu <sup>242</sup>
7.381E-03	5.0574E-12	6.1045E-10	-8.026E-03	-1.615E-04	Pu <sup>240</sup>
7.566E-03	3.1844E--12	6.797E-10	-7.163E-03	-1.4968E-04	Pu <sup>238</sup>
7.552E-03	2.782E-12	2.119E-11	-5.492E-03	-2.106E-05	Pu <sup>236</sup>

**Table 2:** Fitted parameters for EXPOM as in Equation (7) for **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> Isotopes**.

$\frac{\hbar^2}{2\varphi_0}$	$\Delta_0$	IC	Dev	Nucleus
5.08E-03	0.4337651	30	-8.42E-04	Pu <sup>244</sup>
5.13E-03	0.389589	30	2.76E-04	Pu <sup>242</sup>
4.66E-03	0.4458096	34	-9.46E-05	Pu <sup>240</sup>
5.34E-03	0.3343265	30	-1.27E-04	Pu <sup>238</sup>
1.04E-04	0.2125915	18	6.332854E-04	Pu <sup>236</sup>

Also, using the experimental excitation energies the parameters  $\frac{\hbar^2}{2\varphi_0}$ ,  $\Delta_0$  and  $I_c$  are calculated by the same manner using “RVSM” model [1]. We are calculated the energies for **Pu<sup>236-244</sup> isotopes** which is listed in table (3). The deviation of our results from experimental data are given as

$$Dev = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (E_{cal} - E_{exp})$$

By similar manner using EXPOM Equation (7) and the given parameters  $\frac{\hbar^2}{2\varphi_0}$ ,  $\Delta_0$  and  $I_c$  in table (1), we are calculate the energies for chosen nuclei which is listed also, in table (3).

The calculated results for the ground state rotational bands are given systematically in table 3. From this table we noticed that the calculations are carried out for  $\text{Pu}^{236}$  up to  $J^\pi = 16^+$ ,  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  up to  $J^\pi = 22^+$ ,  $\text{Pu}^{240}$  up to  $J^\pi = 26^+$ ,  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  up to  $J^\pi = 26^+$ ,  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  up to  $28^+$ .

**Table 3:** Experimental energies "EXP" and predicted energies for the  $\text{Pu}^{236-244}$  Isotopes calculated by RVSM, MRVSM, and EXPOM (in MeV.).

<b>Pu<sup>236</sup></b>				
I	EXP	RVSM	MRVSM	EXPOM
2	0.04463	4.49E-02	0.0480	4.48E-02
4	0.14745	0.1477522	0.14757	0.1472584
6	0.3058	0.3057676	0.30564	0.3049715
8	0.5157	0.5155875	0.51567	0.5149609
10	0.7735	0.7732869	0.77351	0.773694
12	1.0743	1.074374	1.07444	1.076601
14	1.4136	1.413789	1.41348	1.416993
<b>Pu<sup>238</sup></b>				
I	EXP	RVSM	MRVSM	EXPOM
2	0.04454	4.52E-02	0.0455	4.48E-02
4	0.1473	0.1485508	0.14904	0.1474152
6	0.3064	0.3071518	0.30777	0.3051983
8	0.5181	0.5180148	0.51833	0.5154929
10	0.7786	0.7779288	0.77793	0.7754253
12	1.0844	1.083486	1.0832	1.081889
14	1.4317	1.431084	1.4307	1.431469
16	1.8167	1.816923	1.8166	1.820334
18	2.236	2.237007	2.2370	2.244059
20	2.686	2.687146	2.6875	2.697329
22	3.163	3.162951	3.1633	3.173381
24	3.662	3.65984	3.6697	3.662834
26	4.172	4.173033	4.17297	4.150667
16	1.786	1.785905	1.78603	1.782254
<b>Pu<sup>240</sup></b>				
I	Exp	RVSM	MRVSM	EXPOM
2	0.0442	4.55E-02	0.4690	4.64E-02
4	0.155	0.150547	0.153543	0.15218
6	0.3179	0.313117	0.316945	0.314555
8	0.535	0.53051	0.53387	0.530403
10	0.8024	0.799286	0.80105	0.79645
12	1.1159	1.115315	1.114928	1.109168
14	1.471	1.473736	1.47146	1.464694
16	1.8635	1.86898	1.86580	1.858708
18	2.289	2.294762	2.29202	2.286242
20	2.742	2.744083	2.74293	2.74135

<b>Pu<sup>242</sup></b>				
I	EXP	RVSM	MRVSM	EXPOM
2	0.04454	4.52E-02	0.0455	4.48E-02
4	0.1473	0.1485508	0.1493	0.1474152
6	0.3064	0.3071518	0.3077	0.3051983
8	0.5181	0.5180148	0.5183	0.5154929
10	0.7786	0.7779288	0.7779	0.7754253
12	1.0844	1.083486	1.0832	1.081889
14	1.4317	1.431084	1.4306	1.431469
16	1.8167	1.816923	1.8166	1.820334
18	2.236	2.237007	2.2370	2.244059
20	2.686	2.687146	2.6874	2.697329
22	3.163	3.162951	3.1632	3.173381
24	3.662	3.65984	3.6596	3.662834
26	4.172	4.173033	4.1729	4.150667
<b>Pu<sup>244</sup></b>				
I	Exp	RVSM	MRVSM	EXPOM
2	0.0442	4.55E-02	0.4690	4.64E-02
4	0.155	0.150547	0.153543	0.15218
6	0.3179	0.313117	0.316945	0.314555
8	0.535	0.53051	0.53387	0.530403
10	0.8024	0.799286	0.80105	0.79645
12	1.1159	1.115315	1.114928	1.109168
14	1.471	1.473736	1.47146	1.464694
16	1.8635	1.86898	1.86580	1.858708
18	2.289	2.294762	2.29202	2.286242
20	2.742	2.744083	2.74293	2.74135
22	3.215	3.209232	3.21003	3.216499
24	3.69	3.68178	3.68498	3.701273
26	4.149	4.152587	4.15774	4.179048
28	4.61	4.611799	4.60714	4.614758

### 3. Conclusion

It Is clear that, by using MRVSM RVSM and EXPOM models the predicted results for the ground state rotational bands of deformed even-even Pu<sup>236-244</sup> isotopes in close agreement compared with experimental data , and may also be applied to other nuclei

### References

- [1] J.H.Bakeer and S.M.Alaseri (2014) Description of Rotational Bands for Some Even-Even Nuclei in Actinide Region " IJSBAR,P.88-98
- [2] S. M. Harris. (1965).” Higher Order Corrections to the Cranking Model “ Phys. .Rev., 138B, pp. 509-513.
- [3] M. A. J. Mariscotti, G. Scharfr-Goldhaber and B. Buck. (1969).”Phenomenological Analysis of Ground state Bands in Even- Even Nuclei” Phys. Rev. Lett.Vol. 178, No 4 pp1864-1868.

- [4] A. Klein. (1980).” Perspective in the theory of nuclear collective motion” Nucl. Phys. A Vol. 347, pp. 3-30.
- [5] R. K. Gupta (1971). “Nuclear-softness model of Ground state Bands in even-even nuclei “ Phys Rev. Lett. Vol. 36B, No. 3 pp. 173.
- [6] J. S. Batra and R. K. Gupta (1991). “Determination of the variable moment of inertia model in terms of nuclear softness” Phys. Rev.C Vol.43 pp. 1725.
- [7] D. Bonatsos and A. Klein. (1984). “Generalized Phenomenological models of yrast band” Phys.Rev.C Vol. 29 pp 1879.
- [8] A. Klein (1980). “Rotation of variable moment of inertia (VMI) concept with the interacting model” Phys. Lett.B Vol. 93No. 1, pp 1 Edition.) Plenum press, New York.
- [9] H.O.Nafie,J.H.Madani,and K.A.Gado “Yrast Band of  $^{150}\text{Sm}$ , $^{152}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{154}\text{Gd}$  and  $^{192}\text{Os}$  Nuclei” USBAR p11-17 (2014)
- [10] D. Bonatsos and A. Klein (1984).”Energies of Ground-state bands of even-even Nuclei from generalized variable moment of inertia models” Nucl. Data Tables Vol. 30, pp. 27.
- [11] H.H.Alharbi,H.A.Alhend,and S.U.El-Kamessy."Nuclear Structure Of Some Actinide Nuclei" ArXiv;nucl-th/0502017v1 6 Feb 2005.