

# International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)

International Journal of

Sciences:

Basic and Applied

Research

ISSN 2307-4531
(Print & Online)

Published by:

JESTER

Vall Word Spirming

**ISSN 2307-4531** (Print & Online)

http://gssrr.org/index.php?journal=JournalOfBasicAndApplied

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Clinical Isolates of Escherichia coli and Proteus mirabilis Using Cefepime in Ogbomoso, Nigeria.

Oladipo E. K.<sup>a\*</sup>, Amao J. A.<sup>b</sup>, Omomowo I. O.<sup>c</sup>, Agboola J. O.<sup>d</sup>,

Afolabi A.Y. e, Akinade B. S. f

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pure and Applied Biology {Microbiology/Virology Unit} Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, P.M.B 4000, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

<sup>b</sup> Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

<sup>c,d</sup> Department of Pure and Applied Biology {Microbiology Unit}, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, P.M.B 4000, Ogbomoso, Nigeria.

<sup>e</sup> Obstetrics & Gynaecology Department, University College Hospital, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

<sup>f</sup> Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, P.M.B. 4000,

Ogbomoso, Nigeria

### **Abstract**

The challenge of Cefepime resistance in developing countries is substantial and likely to grow. Emergence of Cefepime-resistant bacteria has increased and management of this has become a therapeutic challenge. The production of beta lactamases by most bacteria make them to be resistant to beta lactam antibiotics like Cefepime which is common nowadays. Forty clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* from different clinical sites were analyzed between March and August, 2013 using standard bacteriological methods. The aim of this study was the evaluation of the sensitivity of clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* to Cefepime. Their sensitivity against Cefepime (30µg) was determined using disk diffusion method. The isolates were divided into three groups: sensitive, intermediate and resistance based on their sensitivity pattern.

 $\ ^{*}\ Corresponding\ author.$ 

E-mail address: koladipo2k3@yahoo.co.uk.

The result showed that *Escherichia coli* had 29.03% sensitivity, 16.13% intermediate and 58.83% resistance while *Proteus mirabilis*had 22.22% sensitivity, 11.11% intermediate and 66.67% resistance. The overall susceptibility pattern of the clinical isolates to Cefepime is 27.50% sensitivity, 15.00% intermediate and 57.57% resistance. There was a great Cefepime- resistance among clinical isolates of *E. coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* analyzed. The resistance pattern of *E. coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* calls for continuous surveillance for Cefepime resistance control.

Keywords: Cefepime; LAUTECH; Ogbomoso; Escherichia coli; Proteus mirabilis; Sensitivity

## 1. Introduction

Enterobacteriaceae are a major cause of infections in hospitalized patients [1]. They are large family of bacteria that causes both nosocomial and community-acquired infections. This family includes many more familiar pathogens, such as Salmonella spp. and Escherichia coli, as well as Citrobacter spp., Enterobacter spp., Klebsiella spp., Proteus spp., and others [2]. Among them, the most frequent are Escherichia coli and Enterobacter spp [3]. Proteus mirabilis strains account for about 10 % of uncomplicated urinary tract infections [4] and they are about the fifth most common cause of nosocomial urinary tract infections and sepsis in patients [5, 6]. Uro- pathogenic Proteus mirabilis and Escherichia coli strains may manifest resistance to several antimicrobials, including extended spectrum cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides [ 7,8, 9]. Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL) producing strains of *Enterobacteriaceae* have emerged as a major problem in hospitalized as well as community based patients [10]. These organisms are responsible for a variety of infections like urinary tract infection (UTI), septicemia, hospital acquired pneumonia, intra-abdominal abscess, brain abscess and related infections. ESBLs are primarily produced by the Enterobacteriaceae family, in particular Escherichia coli [11] and are a major cause of hospital acquired infections [12]. Proteus is widespread in the environment and ranks third as the cause of hospital-acquired infection [13]. Proteus species are major cause of diseases acquired outside the hospital, where many of these diseases eventually required hospitalization [14]. P. mirabilis has been implicated in bacteremia [15], neonatal meningoencephalitis [16], empyema [17] and osteomyelitis [18]. The usefulness of third-generation Cephalosporins may be diminished by the presence of inducible β-lactamases in important nosocomial pathogens [19]. Newer generation of cephalosporins such as cefepime, however, retain activity against the strains of Gram-negative bacilli that produce plasmid-mediated βlactamases compared to ceftazidime [20].

# 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1 Bacterial Isolates

The study includes clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* obtained by screening samples of blood (for blood culture), urine, aspirate, wound, throat, sputum, etc. Forty isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* were identified from different clinical specimens between March and August, 2013 using standard bacteriological methods.

Clinical isolates were isolated and obtained from Medical Microbiology and Parasitology Laboratory of the Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso,Oyo State, Nigeria. LAUTECH Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso provides a tertiary level patient care, serves as referral hospital in Ogbomoso and provides health care facilities to people of different areas.

The clinical isolates were identified based on their morphological behavior on various differential media. All media were prepared according to the manufacturer's specification and sterilized at 121°C for 15 minutes at 15 lb pressure. Further identification was then carried out by standard biochemical test (Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology Ninth Edition) and by comparing their characteristics with those of known taxa, as described by [21, 22,23].

### 2.2 Susceptibility Test

The susceptibility test was conducted using the Kirby- Bauer method of Sensitivity determination. Petri – dishes of Mueller Hinton agar were prepared according to the manufacturer's instruction. 0.1ml of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard was seeded into each of the Petri-dishes containing Mueller-Hinton agar using sterile swabs. These were allowed to stand for 45 minutes to enable the inoculated organisms to pre-diffusion. The antibiotics discs of Cefepime ( $30\mu g$ ; Oxoid, UK) were aseptically placed on the surfaces of the sensitivity agar plates. These were incubated for 18-24 hours at  $37^{-0}$  C and the radial zone of inhibitions were taken.

The results were expressed as susceptible, intermediate or resistant according to criteria developed by Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI), 2007.

### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

Susceptibility rates were analyzed using t- test, at significant level of  $p \le 0.05$ . All interval estimates are 95% confidence intervals. SPSS program for Windows (version 16.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) was used.

### 3. Results

Forty clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* were obtained from different clinical sites as shown in Table 1. Out of the forty clinical isolates, 31 (77.50%) were *Escherichia coli*, and 9 (22.50%) were *Proteus mirabilis*. It is clearly shown that *E. coli* is more associated with urine specimens, while *Proteus mirabilis* are more common in wound specimen because the highest number of clinical isolates of *E. coli* was found in urine specimen while that of *Proteus mirabilis* was found in wound specimen.

Escherichia coli had 29.03% sensitivity, 16.13% intermediate and 54.83% resistance while *Proteus mirabilis* had 22.22% sensitivity, 11.11% intermediate and 66.67% resistance as shown in table 2. The grand susceptibility pattern of the organisms to cefepime is 27.50% sensitivity, 15.00% intermediate and 57.50% resistance. The highest resistance to cefepime was found in *Proteus mirabilis* (66.67%) than that of *Escherichia coli* (54.83%). The t-test result from Table 4 shows that there was no significant difference in the effect of

Cefepime on *Escherichia coli* (Mean value = 2.26) when compared with its effect on *Proteus mirabilis* (Mean value = 2.44) at  $\alpha$  = 0.05.

### 4. Discussions

Antimicrobial resistance surveillance is essential for effective control of infections and management. In the study, we investigated 9(22.50%) *Proteus mirabilis* and 31(77.50%) *Escherichia coli* isolates from clinical samples collected between March and August, 2013. Out of the forty bacterial isolates tested against cefepime, 17(54.83%) of *E. coli* and 6 (66.67%) of *Proteus mirabilis* were resistance to the antibiotic, this resistance to a fourth-generation in cephalosporins has increased for both *E. coli* and *Proteus mirabilis*. The susceptibility rate for *E. coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* in this study is 29.03% and 22.22% respectively. The susceptibility rate of *E coli* from this study 29.03% is higher than that of Kader and Kumar [24] who had 22.8% susceptibility rate. The resistance of *E coli* (54.83%) to cefepime is higher compare to that reported by [25] which in 2005 there was 1.7% resistance rate and 0.1% in 2002. In addition, resistance of clinical isolates to the critically important antibiotic cefepime, a member of fourth generation of cephalosporins, was observed in this study.

Table 1: Distribution of Escherichia coli and Proteus mirabilis from different Isolation Sites

Isolation Site	Escherichia coli	Proteus mirabilis	Total (%)		
Wound	5	8	13(32.50)		
Blood	1	0	1(02.50)		
Ear	0	1	1(02.50)		
High Vaginal	2	0	2(05.00)		
Urine	16	0	16(40.00)		
Sputum	0	0	0(00.00)		
Abscess	1	0	1(02.50)		
Catheter	1	0	1(02.50)		
Stool	2	0	2(05.00)		
Eye	2	0	2(05.00)		
Cerebrospinal Fluid	0	0	0(00.00)		
Throat	0	0	0(00.00)		
Aspirate	1	0	1(02.50)		
Total (%)	31(77.50)	9(22.50)	40(100)		

Results showed that the greatest number of *Proteus mirabilis* isolates from clinical specimen were from wound and representing about 61.54% of all clinical samples from wound. Wounds recorded the highest percentage of *Proteus mirabilis* and *this* confirms the findings of [26] in Ghana and [27] in Nigeria who found that *Proteus mirabilis* is common in wound infections. This seems that *Proteus mirabilis* is more common or associated with

wound infections. While the highest isolates for *E coli* was from urine samples (16 were *E coli* out 31 isolates) compared to other clinical sites.

With no significant different in the effect of cefepime on the two bacterial species according to the t-test disagrees with the report of [28] sthat *E. coli* is susceptible to quinolones and many other antibiotics; but the result agrees with the study by [29], who noted that *Proteus* is characterized by a statistically limited presence of virulence factors but a multi-drug resistance pattern. The resistant of the clinical isolates to cefepime could be as a result of abuse of the antibiotics and there should be proper monitoring by qualified personnel in the field to curb the trends of antibiotics misuse.

Table 2: Susceptibility of Escherichia coli and Proteus mirabilis to Cefepime using CLSI (2007) Criteria.

Isolation site	Escherichia coli				Proteus mirabilis			Grand Total				
site	S	I	R	Total	S	I	R	Total	S	I	R	Total
												(%)
Wound	1	2	2	5	2	1	5	8	3	3	7	13(32.5)
Blood	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	01(2.5)
Ear	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	01(2.5)
High vaginal	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	02(5)
Urine	7	1	8	16	0	0	0	0	7	1	8	16(40)
Sputum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00(0)
Abscess	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	01(2.5)
Catheter	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	01(2.5)
Stool	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	02(5)
Eye	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	02(5)
CSF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00(0)
Throat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00(0)
Aspirate	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	01(2.5)
Total (%)	9(29.03)	5 (16.13)	17(54.83)	31(100)	2 22.22)	1(11.11)	6(66.67)	9(100)	11(27.50)	6 (15.00)	23 (57.50)	40 (100)

Key: CLSI, (2007) Susceptibility Criteria for Cefepime; R≤ 14mm or I= 15-17mm or S≥ 18mm

S- Sensitive; I- Intermediate; R- Resistance.

Table 3: T- test for the effect of Cefepime on Escherichia coli and Proteus mirabilis

Organism	Effect Mean value	t-value
Escherichia coli	2.26	0.553
Proteus mirabilis	2.44	0.557

 $p \le 0.05$ 

# 5. Study Limitations

The data collected were limited to Ogbomoso Township in Oyo State of Nigeria; for a period of six months, there was a challenge of number of bacterial isolates obtained for that period.

### 6. Conclusion

There was a great resistance of Cefepime to the clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* used in the study.

### **Acknowledgements:**

The authors would like to acknowledge Prof. J.K. Oloke of Department of Pure and Applied Biology (Microbiology Unit) at Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso for allowing us to carry out this study in his Research Laboratory at LAUTECH.

### References

- [1] Paterson D.L. Resistance in gram-negative bacteria: Enterobacteriaceae. *Am J Med.* 2006; 119 (Suppl 1):S20-8.
- [2] Pallecchi, L., Malossi, M., Mantella, A., Gotuzzo, E., Trigoso, C., Bartoloni, A., Paradisi, F., Kronvall, G., and Rossolini, G. M. "Detection of CTX-M-type beta-lactamase genes in fecal *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy children in Bolivia and Peru." *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*2004; 48:4556–4561.
- [3] Luzzaro F., Vigano E.F., Fossati D., Grossi A., Sala A., Sturla C.Prevalence and drug
- [4] susceptibility of pathogens causing bloodstream infections in northern Italy: a two-year study in 16 hospitals. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2002;21(12):849-55.
- [5] Rozalski A., Sidorczyk Z., Kotelko K. Potential virulence factors of *Proteus bacilli. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 1997; 61:65–89.
- [6] Daza R., Gutierrez J., Piedrola G. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial strains isolated from patients

- with community-acquired urinary tract infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2001; 18:211-215.
- [7] Lindsay E., Nicolle M.D. Resistant pathogens in urinary tract infections. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2002; 50:230–235
- [8] Pagani L., Migliavacca R., Pallecchi L., Matti C., Giacobone E., Amicosante G., Romero E. Emerging extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in *Proteus mirabilis*. J Clin Microbiol .2002;40: 1549–1552
- [9] Karlowsky J.A., Kelly L.J., Thornsberry C., Jones M.E., Sahm D.F. Trends in antimicrobial resistance among urinary tract infection isolates of *Escherichia coli* from female outpatients in the United States. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* .2002; 46:2540–2545.
- [10] Khan A.U., Musharra F.A. Plasmid-mediated multiple antibiotic resistance in *Proteus mirabilis* isolated from patients with urinary tract infection. *Med Sci Monit* 2004;10: 598–602
- [11] Rodriguez-Bano J., Navarro M.D., Romero L., Martinez-Martinez L., Muniain M.A., Perea E.J., Perez-Cano R. and Pascual A. Epidemiology and clinical features of infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* in non-hospitalized patients. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004, 42:1089-94.
- [12] Paterson D.L. and Bonomo R.A. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: a clinical update. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005, 18:657-86.
- [13] Lowy, F.D. "Staphylococcus aureus infections", New Eng. J. Med., 1998; 339, 520-532
- [14] Stamm, W.E. Urinary tract Infection. In Clinical Infectious Diseases: A practical approach, Root K (ed). Oxford Universitry Press Inc, New York, 1999. P 649 - 656
- [15] De Champs C., Bonnet R., Sint D., Chanal C. and Sirot J. Clinical relevance of Proteus mirabilis in hospital patients: A two year survey. J Antimicrob Chemoth. 2009; 45: 537 539
- [16] Watanakunakorn C., Pernis S.C. Protues mirabilis bacteremia: a review of 176 cases during 1980 1992. Scand J Infect Dis: 1994: 26: 361 367.
- [17] Grahnquist L., Lundberg B., Tullus K. Neonatal Proteus meningoencephalitis. Case report. Acta Pathol, Microbiol. Immunol Scand. 1992; 100: 734 736
- [18] Isenstein D., Honing E. Proteus vulgaris empyema and increased pleural fluid pH. Chest 1990; 95: 511
- [19] Max A.C., Harsthorwe M.F., Stull M.A., Truwt C.L. Case report 496: intraosseous gas in Proteus mirabilis osteomyelitis complicating bone infarcts in sickle cell disease. Skeletal Radiol. 1988; 17:510 – 513
- [20] Pfaller M.A., Jones R.N., Doern G.V., Kugler K..The Sentry Participants Group: Bacterial pathogens isolated from patients with bloodstream infection: frequencies of occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program (United States and Canada, 1997). Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998; 42: 1762 1770.
- [21] Pechere J.C. and Vladoianu I.R.: Development of resistance during ceftazidime and cefepime therapy in a murine peritonitis model. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1992; 29: 563 573.
- [22] Jolt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PHA, Stanley JT, Williams ST Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. Williams & Wilkins Co. Baltimore, Maryland. 1994; 786.
- [23] Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries. Cambridge University Press. 2006;434.
- [24] Oyeleke S.B., Manga S.B. Essentials of Laboratory Practicals in Microbiology Tobest publisher.

- Minna. Nigeria. 2008;36-75.
- [25] Kader A.A. and Kumar A. Prevalence antimicrobial susceptibility of extended- spectrum betalactamase – producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae in a general hospital. Ann Saudi Med: 2005; 25(3): 239 – 42
- [26] Pallecchi L., Bartoloni A., Fiorelli, C., Mantella, A., Di Maggio, T., Gamboa, H., Gotuzzo, E., Kronvall, G., Paradisi, F., and Rossolini, G.M. "Rapid dissemination and diversity of CTX-M extended spectrum1-lactamase genes in commensal Escherichia coli isolates from healthy children from low-resources settings in Latin America." Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2007b; 51: 2720–2725.
- [27] Newman M.J., Frimpong E., Asamoah Adu A. and Sampane-Donkor E. Resisitance to Antimicrobial Drugs in Ghana. The Ghananian – Dutch collaboration for Health Research and Development, 2006; 1-6
- [28] Yah S.C., Egbanfona N.O., Oranusi S. and Abono A.M. Wide spread plasmid resistance genes among Proteus species in diabetic wounds of patients in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital (ABUTH) Zaria. Afr J. Biotechnol 2001 6(15): 1757 1762
- [29] Moreno E., Prats G., Sabate M., Perez T., Johnson J.R., Andreu A. Quinolone, fluoroquinolone and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole resistance in relation to virulence determinants and phylogenetic background among uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. J Antimicrob Chemother (2006) 57:204–211
- [30] Wioletta A. B., Elzbieta Z, Pawel Pi and Wieslaw K. Comparison of antibiotic resistance patterns in collections of *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis* uropathogenic strains, Molecular Biology Reports, (2013).