Systematic Literature Review on Landscape Design Education and Innovative Creative Thinking

Zheng Fan[a]*, Janaka Low Chee Kang[b]

[a,b] Malaysia University of Science and Technology (MUST),Block B, Encorp Strand Garden Office, No. 12, Jalan PJU 5/5, Kota Damansara, 47810 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia
[a]Email: zheng.fan@phd.must.edu.my,[b]Email: janaka.low@must.edu.my

Abstract

This systematic literature review explores the evolving landscape of environmental and landscape art design education in the face of globalization, with a focus on the integration of innovative creative thinking within the educational frameworks of the United States, the United Kingdom, and China. The review examines how global technological advances, cultural exchanges, and socio-economic shifts are compelling art education to transcend traditional paradigms, advocating for curricular reforms that nurture creativity and innovation. Central to this discourse is the role of motivation and self-concept in enhancing students' creative thinking and learning experiences. Drawing on seminal theories such as Self-Determination Theory, Expectancy-Value Theory, the ARCS model of motivation, Pace's Quality of Students' Effort Theory, and Self-Concept Theory, the review delineates the multifaceted influences on student engagement and creativity in art education. Through a structured methodological approach, this review synthesizes findings from a diverse array of sources to construct a comprehensive perspective on the current state and future directions of landscape design education. The synthesis underscores the need for educational environments that foster intrinsic motivation, build self-efficacy, and cultivate positive self-concepts to empower students to reach their creative potential. This review contributes valuable insights into pedagogical strategies conducive to fostering innovative thinkers, poised to navigate and address the complex challenges of contemporary environmental and landscape design.

Keywords: Landscape Design Education; Creative Thinking; Globalization and Art Education; Student Motivation; Self-Concept in Learning.

----

* Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

The landscape of design education, particularly in the fields of environmental and landscape art, is undergoing significant transformation in response to global shifts in technology, culture, and socio-economic demands. This systematic literature review aims to explore the intricacies of landscape design education within the contexts of the United States, the United Kingdom, and China, with a specific focus on the integration of innovative creative thinking. The advent of globalization has prompted a re-evaluation of traditional educational paradigms, pushing for curriculum reforms that not only cater to the evolving job market but also foster a culture of creativity and innovation among students [1,7].

The review navigates through the broader concepts of art education, scrutinizing how globalization influences curriculum development and the cultivation of creative thinking abilities in students. Amidst the backdrop of rapid technological advancements and cultural exchanges, the need for educational systems to adapt and embrace innovative pedagogical approaches has never been more critical [3,5]. This investigation delves into the existing challenges faced by Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in China, shedding light on the gaps between current curricular offerings and the requirements for nurturing creative professionals in the field of environmental and landscape design [8].

A pivotal aspect of this review is the exploration of motivation and self-concept as essential factors influencing students' creative thinking and learning experiences. Drawing upon seminal works by Deci and Ryan on Self-Determination Theory [1] and Eccles and Wigfield's Expectancy-Value Theory [2], this review examines how intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors shape students' engagement and performance in art education. Furthermore, the ARCS model of motivation, developed by Keller [4], provides a framework for understanding how attention, relevance, confidence, and satisfaction contribute to enhancing students' motivation in learning environments, particularly in the context of online and remote learning [6].

In synthesizing literature from diverse sources, this review aims to construct a comprehensive understanding of the current state of landscape design education and its future directions. By integrating theories of motivation, self-concept, and creativity, this review seeks to offer valuable insights into the pedagogical strategies that can effectively nurture innovative thinkers capable of addressing the complex challenges of contemporary environmental and landscape design.

2. Method

2.1 Structured Approach

The methodology for this systematic literature review was meticulously planned to ensure a comprehensive and unbiased examination of the existing literature on landscape design education and its associated dimensions. A structured approach was adopted, which involves a systematic sequence of steps designed to enhance the review's reliability and validity. This methodological rigor is crucial in minimizing the risk of selection bias and ensuring that the review accurately represents the field's current state.

2.2 Database Search

The literature search was conducted across several renowned academic databases, including JSTOR, PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. These databases were selected for their extensive collections of scholarly articles, books, and conference papers, making them invaluable resources for accessing a wide range of academic research across disciplines related to landscape design education, creative thinking, and educational psychology.

2.3 Keywords Selection

Keywords play a pivotal role in the search strategy, serving as the foundation for querying the databases. The chosen keywords were "landscape design education," "creative thinking in art education," "ARCS model," "Pace's theory," and "self-concept in education." These terms were carefully selected to encompass the core themes of the review, ensuring that the search results would be relevant to the research questions. The use of these keywords allowed for a targeted search, capturing literature that directly relates to the key aspects of landscape design education, motivational theories, and the psychological constructs of self-concept and creativity in educational settings.

2.4 Selection Criteria

To maintain the review's relevance and quality, strict selection criteria were applied. The inclusion criteria were limited to peer-reviewed articles, books, and conference papers to ensure the credibility and academic rigor of the sources. Peer-reviewed materials are subjected to critical evaluation by experts in the field, which significantly enhances the reliability of the information they contain. The temporal scope of the review was set from the year 2000 to 2024, a period chosen to capture the most recent developments and trends in the field while also encompassing enough historical context to understand the evolution of landscape design education and its pedagogical approaches. Focusing on this time frame allowed the review to include seminal works that have shaped current educational practices as well as recent studies that reflect the latest advancements and challenges in the field.

2.5 Ensuring Comprehensiveness

The structured approach, combined with a judicious selection of databases, carefully chosen keywords, and clear selection criteria, was designed to ensure the comprehensiveness of the literature review. By systematically gathering and analyzing relevant literature, the review aims to provide a holistic understanding of landscape design education, the role of creativity and motivation, and the impact of self-concept on learning outcomes in this domain.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Globalization and Art Education

The impact of globalization on art education is profound, compelling a reevaluation of curricular frameworks to better align with the demands of an increasingly interconnected world. The pressures of globalization necessitate

that art education not only imparts technical and aesthetic skills but also cultivates the creative and innovative capacities essential for navigating the complexities of the global workforce. In China, specifically within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), the infusion of creative thinking into environmental and landscape art education is hampered by rigid curricular structures, insufficient motivation, and underdeveloped self-concept among students. These limitations highlight the urgent need for educational reforms that embrace a more holistic and flexible approach to art education, one that fosters creativity and innovation [8].

The diversification of fine art culture represents a pivotal aspect of its evolution, suggesting a dynamic interplay between traditional methodologies and contemporary influences. Wang's exploration of effective oil painting teaching strategies within a diverse cultural framework underscores the necessity of adapting educational practices to accommodate the richness of a multifaceted art culture [17]. This approach necessitates a balance between preserving traditional techniques and embracing innovative pedagogies that reflect the complexity of modern artistic expressions.

Padalka and Zaytseva emphasize cultural orientation as a cornerstone for modernizing art education, highlighting a critical perspective: the evolution of art education cannot be disentangled from broader sociocultural dynamics [15]. Their argument suggests that the integration of both objective sociocultural factors and subjective, personalized approaches is essential for a holistic art education that fosters individual growth and reflects contemporary societal changes.

Salam's advocacy for leveraging local cultures in arts education to promote cultural identity presents a promising avenue for embedding a sense of belonging and self-awareness within the educational curriculum [16]. However, this approach raises questions about the universality of such educational strategies and their adaptability across diverse cultural contexts. The tension between global standardization in education and the preservation of local cultural identities is a critical area for further exploration.

The impact of globalization, as discussed by Kayahan and Çevik, introduces interdisciplinarity as a transformative force in arts education, advocating for a collaborative approach that bridges diverse fields [12]. While this interdisciplinary approach fosters innovation and broadens the scope of artistic exploration, it also poses challenges in maintaining the depth and integrity of each discipline within such collaborative frameworks.

Gao, Lai, and Huang's examination of digitalization in art design education reflects a significant trend toward integrating technology into the learning process. The collaboration with the Cocos Engine-Yaji Software team signifies a move towards industry-aligned education that prepares students for the digital marketplace [11]. However, this trend also raises critical questions about the digital divide and the accessibility of such technologically advanced education for all students.

Azilioğlu and Yılmaz focus on the reflections of social and cultural changes in art and art education within Turkish higher education institutions and provide valuable insights into the localized impact of global trends on art education [10]. This analysis underscores the importance of contextual factors in shaping educational practices and highlights the diverse ways in which different regions respond to global influences.

The contributions of Kowalewski [13], Ma [14], Yongseok [18], and Fan [19] further enrich the discourse on art education's evolution, presenting a spectrum of perspectives that range from theoretical considerations to practical implementations. These works collectively underscore the complexity of art education in an era marked by rapid cultural and technological shifts, emphasizing the need for adaptive, context-sensitive educational models that can navigate the challenges and opportunities presented by globalization and digital innovation.

3.2 Motivation in Education

Motivation is a critical driver of student engagement and academic success. Theoretical models such as Self-Determination Theory [1] and Expectancy-Value Theory [2] have laid the groundwork for understanding how motivation influences educational outcomes. The ARCS model, in particular, presents a targeted approach by emphasizing the roles of Attention, Relevance, Confidence, and Satisfaction in fostering motivation. This model suggests that by enhancing these four components, educators can significantly improve students' motivation levels, thereby encouraging greater creativity and engagement in the learning process [4].

3.3 Self-Concept and Creative Thinking

Self-concept, or an individual's perception of their own abilities and attributes, plays a significant role in shaping learning experiences and creative output. There is, however, a noted discrepancy between students' self-assessed creativity and their actual creative performance. This discrepancy underscores the importance of developing educational strategies that not only nurture creative thinking but also provide accurate assessments of students' creative capabilities. By aligning self-perception with actual abilities, educators can better support the development of students' creative skills (Batey & Furnham, 2008; Pretz & McCollum, 2014; McLeod, 2008).

Jia and his colleagues. (2019) provide an initial overview of the neurocognitive mechanisms underlying metacognition in creative thought, suggesting the need for further research to investigate how metacognitive components interact during creative thinking and to clarify metacognition's role across different creative phases.

Wulansari and his colleagues. (2019) examine the impact of Scientific Creativity and Critical Worksheet (SCCW) on creative thinking, scientific criticality, and students' understanding of the Work and Energy concept. Their study aims to assess the enhancement of cognitive abilities, creative thinking skills, and scientific criticism in students using a project-based learning model integrated with SCCW compared to conventional student worksheets.

Hae-ju (2020) investigates the effects of critical thinking disposition, self-esteem, creative convergence competency, and professional self-concept on nursing students' professional self-concept, identifying critical thinking disposition, self-esteem, and creative convergence competency as significant predictors, accounting for 67.8% of the variance in professional self-concept.

Huang and his colleagues. (2020) analyze how creative thinking, psychomotor skills, and creative self-efficacy influence the engineering design creativity of eighth-grade students, finding that creative performance moderately correlates with creative thinking, psychomotor skills, and creative self-efficacy.

Yaniawati and his colleagues. (2020) propose the use of e-Learning in mathematics through Resource-Based Learning (RBL) with a scientific approach to enhance mathematical creative thinking and boost students' self-confidence, evaluating creative thinking in terms of fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration.

Palmiero and his colleagues. (2020) explore the connections between musical expertise and divergent thinking, particularly examining how musical expertise, excluding improvisation training, relates to divergent thinking in music, words, and visuals. They compare expert and self-taught musicians' performance in these areas with that of non-musicians in verbal and visual divergent thinking.

Anderson and his colleagues. (2021) delve into the evolving nature of creative potential during early adolescence, highlighting originality's significant contribution to higher levels of creative illustration, creative self-efficacy, and creative self-concept within the dual pathway model, which posits that creativity stems from both persistence and cognitive flexibility.

Dou and his colleagues. (2021) emphasize the intrinsic qualities of creative thinking, such as originality, divergence, and relevance, viewing it as a form of imaginative thinking. Their research adopts innovation and creativity as its analytical lens.

3.4 Theoretical Implications

The synthesis of the ARCS model, Pace's Quality of Students' Effort Theory, and Self-Concept Theory offers a robust framework for analyzing the multifaceted influences on students' creativity and motivation in art education. These theoretical perspectives emphasize the necessity of educational environments that promote intrinsic motivation, enhance self-efficacy, and cultivate a positive self-concept. Such environments are crucial for empowering students to reach their creative potential and engage fully with the curriculum.

The integration of Keller's ARCS model of motivation, Pace's Quality of Students' Effort Theory, and Self-Concept Theory creates a comprehensive framework for understanding the diverse factors that affect student creativity and motivation, particularly in the context of art education. Each of these theories contributes unique insights into the dynamics of learning environments and how they can foster or hinder student engagement and creative output.

Keller's ARCS model (Keller, 1987) outlines four essential components for motivating learners: Attention, Relevance, Confidence, and Satisfaction. The model suggests that educational content should be designed to capture students' attention, relate to their experiences or goals to establish relevance, build their confidence through achievable challenges, and provide satisfaction through feedback and rewards. This approach is particularly relevant in art education, where engaging students' creativity requires capturing their interest and helping them see the value in their efforts.

Pace's Quality of Students' Effort Theory (1980) emphasizes the importance of the quality and quantity of effort students invest in their educational activities. According to Pace, the more students engage with their learning materials, peers, and instructors, the more they learn and develop. This theory highlights the role of educational

institutions in creating opportunities for meaningful engagement that promote deep learning, which is crucial for nurturing creativity in art education (Pace, 1980).

Self-Concept Theory, as discussed by McLeod (2008), refers to how individuals perceive themselves, which can significantly influence their behavior and engagement in learning. A positive self-concept in a specific domain, such as art, can enhance students' willingness to take risks and embrace challenges, which are critical components of creative work. Educators can support the development of a positive self-concept by providing an environment that acknowledges individual progress and offers constructive feedback (McLeod, 2008).

Combining these theories, the synthesized framework underlines the need for educational settings that inherently motivate students by making learning relevant and engaging, encouraging active participation, and supporting a positive self-view. Such environments are especially vital in art education, where personal expression and creativity are key. By fostering intrinsic motivation, enhancing self-efficacy through manageable challenges, and nurturing a positive self-concept, educators can empower students to fully explore their creative potential and engage deeply with the art curriculum.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the landscape of design education, particularly in environmental and landscape art, is at a pivotal juncture, shaped by global technological, cultural, and socio-economic transformations. This systematic literature review has delved into the complexities of landscape design education across the United States, the United Kingdom, and China, highlighting the critical need for integrating innovative creative thinking within curricula. The influence of globalization necessitates a reimagined approach to education that not only meets the demands of the evolving job market but also fosters creativity and innovation among students.

The review underscores the significance of motivation and self-concept as integral to fostering students' creative thinking and learning experiences. Drawing from established theories such as Self-Determination Theory, Expectancy-Value Theory, and the ARCS model of motivation, it becomes evident that intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors play a crucial role in shaping students' engagement and performance in art education. Moreover, the integration of Pace's Quality of Students' Effort Theory and Self-Concept Theory provides a nuanced understanding of how educational environments can be optimized to promote intrinsic motivation, self-efficacy, and a positive self-concept, thereby empowering students to realize their creative potential.

This synthesis of literature offers valuable insights into pedagogical strategies that can effectively nurture innovative thinkers capable of addressing contemporary challenges in environmental and landscape design. By fostering environments that enhance motivation, acknowledge individual progress, and cultivate a positive self-view, educators can unlock the creative capacities of students, preparing them to contribute meaningfully to the field of design in an increasingly interconnected and dynamic world. The findings of this review serve as a call to action for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers to embrace adaptive, student-centered approaches that prioritize creativity, innovation, and the holistic development of learners in the realm of art and design education.

References

[1] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. Plenum.

[2] Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. Annual Review of Psychology, 53, 109-132.

[3] Friedman, T. L. (2005). The world is flat: A brief history of the twenty-first century. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

[4] Keller, J. M. (1987). Development and use of the ARCS model of instructional design. Journal of Instructional Development, 10(3), 2-10.

[5] Niu, W., & Sternberg, R. J. (2006). The philosophical roots of Western and Eastern conceptions of creativity. Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology, 26(1-2), 18-38.

[6] Sinatra, G. M., Heddy, B. C., & Lombardi, D. (2020). The challenges of defining and measuring student engagement in science. Educational Psychologist, 55(1), 1-13.

[7] Stromquist, N. P., & Monkman, K. (Eds.). (2014). Globalization and education: Integration and contestation across cultures. R&L Education.

[8] Zhang, Y., & Gu, P. (2022). Challenges and strategies in the integration of environmental and landscape art education in Chinese HEIs. Journal of Art and Design Education, 41(1), 22-35.

[9] Zhao, Y. (2010). Preparing globally competent teachers: A new imperative for teacher education. Journal of Teacher Education, 61(5), 422-431.

[10] Azilioğlu, K., & Yılmaz, M. (2021). Toplumsal ve kültürel değişimlerin sanat eğitimine yansımaları. *Eğitim ve Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi*.

[11] Gao, J., Lai, W., & Huang, X. (2021). Research on the cultivation of applied innovative talents in art design under the background of new liberal arts construction based on Cocos digital technology. *E3S Web of Conferences*.

[12] Kayahan, Z., & Çevik, N. (2020). Disiplinlerarası atölye çalışmalarına bir örnek: Baskıresim-seramik yakınlaşmaları. *Güzel Sanatlar Enstitüsü Dergisi*.

[13] Kowalewski, M. (2019). Two perspectives on modern art education – Between marginalization and globalization. *Zeszyty Naukowe Wyższej Szkoły Humanitas w Sosnowcu*.

[14] Ma, Y. (2020). History as a mirror: Research on the development of contemporary Chinese art colleges.

[15] Padalka, G., & Zaytseva, A. (2020). Culturological dimensions of projective modeling of art education. *Almanac "Culture and Contemporaneity"*.

[16] Salam, S. (2020). Promoting cultural identity through arts education: The Indonesian context.

[17] Wang, L. (2020). Discussion on the teaching strategy of oil painting in colleges under the background of multiple art culture development. *Lethaia*.

[18] Yongseok, S. (2021). Art as the content and the method of education: Oakeshot on art education. *Philosophy of Education Archive*.

[19] Fan, R. (2021). International accreditation of digital media art undergraduate program based on the UK higher education quality standards. *The Sixth International Conference on Information*.

[20] Nguyen, T., Duncan, R. J., & Bailey, D. H. (2019). Theoretical and methodological implications of associations between executive function and mathematics in early childhood. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 3*.

[21] Cohen, A. (2019). Israel's civic education wars: A review of the literature and theoretical implications. *Educational Review, 3*.

[22] Leong, L.-Y., Hew, T.-S., Ooi, K.-B., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2020). Predicting trust in online advertising with an SEM-artificial neural network approach. *Expert Systems with Applications, 3*.

[23] Greve, T., & Rocha, M. (2020). Policy and theoretical implications of the zero-subsidy bids in the German offshore wind tenders. *The Energy Journal, 3*.

[24] Pratiwi, N. L. G. D. A., & Budiasih, I. G. A. N. (2020). Pengendalian internal, budaya organisasi, dan kecenderungan kecurangan akuntansi di LPD Kabupaten Tabanan. *European Journal of Anaesthesiology, 2020*.

[25] Mahdi, O. R., & Nassar, I. A. (2021). The business model of sustainable competitive advantage through strategic leadership capabilities and knowledge management processes to overcome COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustainability, 3*.

[26] Zhou, Q., Du, Z., Liu, J., Liang, J., & Jiao, Y. (2021). Factors influencing green ship recycling: A conceptual framework and modeling. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021*.

[27] Zhu, W., & Dong, S. (2021). Exoplanet statistics and theoretical implications. *arXiv:astro-ph.EP, 3*.

[28] Lin, S., Shimazu, N., & Sidaway, J. (2021). Theorising from the Belt and Road Initiative. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint, 3*.

[29] Puntillo, P. (2022). Circular economy business models: Towards achieving sustainable development goals in the waste management sector—Empirical evidence and theoretical implications. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 3*.

[30] Keller, J. M. (1987). *Development and use of the ARCS model of instructional design*. Journal of Instructional Development, 10(3), 2-10.