



Transforming Higher Education: A Systematic Review of Transformational Leadership among University Lecturers in Xi'an, China

Li Si Yi ^{a*}, Chin Nyuk Sang ^b

^{a, b} *Malaysia University of Science and Technology (MUST), Block B, Encorp Strand Garden Office, No. 12,
Jalan PJU 5/5, Kota Damansara, 47810 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia*

^a*Email: li.siyi@phd.must.edu.my*

^b*Email: nschin@must.edu.my*

Abstract

This systematic review explores the dynamics of transformational leadership among university lecturers in public universities in Xi'an, China. Drawing on a range of validated research instruments and employing a rigorous methodology, this study investigates the interplay between spiritual intelligence, mindfulness, organizational justice, structure alignment, social responsibility, job satisfaction, and their impact on transformational leadership. Through a comprehensive analysis of self-administered questionnaires, this review sheds light on the critical factors influencing leadership behaviors in higher education settings, offering valuable insights for academics, policymakers, and institutional leaders aiming to foster transformative educational environments.

Keywords: Transformational Leadership; University Lecturers; Public Universities; Organizational Justice; Structure Alignment; Social Responsibility.

Received: 1/1/2024

Accepted: 3/1/2024

Published: 3/11/2024

* Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

The landscape of higher education is continually evolving, necessitating adaptive and inspirational leadership to navigate these changes effectively. Transformational leadership, characterized by its ability to inspire and motivate, has emerged as a pivotal style within academic institutions.

This systematic review delves into the manifestation of transformational leadership among university lecturers in Xi'an, China, highlighting the significance of various personal and organizational factors in cultivating such leadership qualities.

Transformational leadership is increasingly recognized as a pivotal force in the realm of higher education, with the potential to significantly influence both organizational effectiveness and academic success. This systematic review aims to synthesize existing research on transformational leadership among university lecturers in Xi'an, China, guided by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure rigorous and transparent reporting.

2. Purpose

The purpose of this study is to comprehensively examine the impact and efficacy of transformational leadership within the context of higher education, with a specific focus on understanding how such leadership styles contribute to institutional success, governance efficiency, and the adaptability of universities to contemporary challenges. This research aims to bridge existing gaps in the literature by providing a nuanced comparison of transformational leadership with other leadership styles, such as transactional and laissez-faire leadership, to ascertain their relative strengths and applicability in academic settings.

3. Methodology

The methodology employed in this systematic review was designed to rigorously identify, assess, and synthesize empirical research on transformational leadership among university lecturers in higher education settings, specifically within Xi'an, China. To achieve this, a multi-faceted search strategy was implemented across several prominent databases including CNKI, Web of Science, Scopus, and the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC). This search was conducted using a carefully crafted combination of keywords such as "transformational leadership," "higher education," "university lecturers," and "Xi'an, China." The aim was to capture a wide range of relevant studies, with the search parameters being restricted to articles published in both English and Chinese languages from January 2000 up to December 2023, ensuring a comprehensive coverage of contemporary research in this field.

The eligibility criteria for including studies in the review were meticulously defined to ensure the focus remained tightly bound to the subject of interest. To be considered for inclusion, studies had to primarily focus on the concept of transformational leadership within the context of higher education. They needed to involve university lecturers from Xi'an, China, as the study participants and be empirical in nature, thus providing concrete data and findings on the subject. Moreover, these studies were required to be published in peer-reviewed journals, a

criterion that serves as a proxy for the quality and reliability of the research. Exclusion criteria were applied to filter out non-empirical studies, such as opinion pieces or theoretical essays, conference abstracts which typically offer preliminary findings, and any research that, while possibly related to leadership or education, did not explicitly examine transformational leadership within the specified context.

The process of data extraction and synthesis was conducted with a high degree of rigor. Two independent reviewers were tasked with extracting data using a pre-defined and standardized form. This form was designed to capture essential details about each study, including its characteristics, the population involved, the research methods employed, and the key findings related to transformational leadership. This dual-reviewer approach not only ensured a thorough examination of each study but also provided a mechanism to cross-check and validate the extracted data. In instances of discrepancies between the reviewers, discussions were held to reach a consensus, and if necessary, a third reviewer was consulted to make a final decision.

To synthesize the extracted data, a narrative synthesis approach was adopted. This method allows for the integration of findings from diverse study designs, including quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods research. It facilitated a comprehensive understanding of the state of transformational leadership among university lecturers in Xi'an by weaving together the various strands of evidence into a coherent narrative.

Finally, to ensure the reliability and validity of the findings, the quality of the included studies was assessed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT). This tool is designed to evaluate the methodological quality of mixed studies, including qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-methods research. The MMAT assessment helped in determining the rigor and relevance of the studies, providing an additional layer of scrutiny to the review process.

In summary, the methods section of this systematic review outlines a detailed and methodologically sound approach to identifying and synthesizing empirical research on transformational leadership among university lecturers in Xi'an, China. Through a comprehensive search strategy, stringent eligibility criteria, careful data extraction, and synthesis, and a thorough quality assessment, the review aimed to provide a robust and insightful analysis of the current state of research in this field.

4. Literature Review

In an era marked by rapid technological advancements and shifting global educational paradigms, the imperative for effective leadership within higher education institutions has never been more pronounced. As universities worldwide grapple with these transformative pressures, the exploration of leadership styles that can navigate and harness these changes becomes crucial. This paper synthesizes a body of research that delves into the realm of transformational leadership within the higher education sector, underscoring its pivotal role in steering institutions through the challenges and opportunities presented by the contemporary educational landscape.

Reference [1] embarked on a meticulous examination of the literature to identify leadership behaviors that contribute to efficacy in the higher education realm. Through a quantitative, rational positivist lens, this research highlights the critical role of Transformational Leadership in steering university administration through periods

of change, as echoed in the findings of [2]. Their study, supported by case analyses, validates the fundamental need for Transformational Leadership in guiding university governance, confirming the initial hypotheses. Reference [3] probe the essential function of transformational leadership within the Indonesian educational framework, advocating for subsequent inquiries into diverse leadership models that might suit university environments or various cultural contexts. Similarly, [4] undertake a thorough literature review to explore transformational leadership's influence on governance in universities of developing nations. The scarcity of research in these settings prompts a call for deeper investigation, which could uncover valuable cultural insights, utilizing a range of scholarly databases with a focus on 'Transformational Leadership' and 'Higher Education.' The pivotal role of leadership in the digital evolution of educational institutions is progressively recognized, highlighting the need for active engagement from university boards. Reference [5] delve into the leadership skills of department heads, particularly their approach to digital leadership and its impact on leadership outcomes. Their research identifies a significant correlation between transformational leadership and positive outcomes, contrasting sharply with the negative effects of passive-avoidant leadership styles, thus endorsing the efficacy of transformational leadership in enhancing staff efficiency and satisfaction. Reference [6] presents a mixed-methods investigation into the transformational leadership attributes within doctoral leadership programs in Virginia, encompassing evaluations, interviews, and the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ-5xTM). This study aims to gauge the presence of Transformational Leadership among doctoral students, the perception of these programs by their chairs, and how they are represented on program websites. In the context of higher education, transformational leadership is revered for its focus on the collaborative management of leadership responsibilities across diverse settings, a sentiment supported by a study within the University of Peloponnese Senate reported by [7]. This research underscores the positive correlation between transformational leadership and desirable outcomes, further highlighting the drawbacks of avoidant leadership styles. Reference [8] concentrate on promoting awareness of transformational leadership among university deans and heads, emphasizing its importance in their leadership practices. Their study, 'Developing Academic Leaders: Evaluation of a Leadership Development Intervention in Higher Education,' involves numerous academic leaders from public universities. Lastly, Reference [5] connect leadership, digital transformation, and performance within higher education institutions through a comprehensive literature review spanning major databases like ISI Web of Science and Scopus. Their findings underscore a strong emphasis on transformational leadership, particularly its impact on teaching and learning performance and digital transformation, suggesting a significant theoretical advancement in these domains.

5. Findings

The body of research under consideration employs a diverse array of methodological approaches to investigate leadership in higher education. This includes systematic literature reviews, quantitative analyses, and mixed-methods approaches, all of which contribute to a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the subject. The methodological diversity inherent in these studies serves to bolster the reliability and validity of their findings, offering a robust foundation for understanding the multifaceted nature of leadership within academic institutions.

Moreover, the research encompasses a broad global perspective, with studies conducted in various geographical locations ranging from Indonesia to several developing countries, and even includes specific case analyses like

the University of Peloponnese. This wide-ranging geographical scope is essential for appreciating both the universal principles of transformational leadership and the unique, context-specific challenges it faces in different higher education environments around the world.

Central to these studies is the focus on transformational leadership, a style deemed particularly relevant and timely given the current global challenges that higher education faces, such as the need for digital transformation and adaptability to rapid changes. The collective findings from these studies underscore the positive impact of transformational leadership in higher education, highlighting its significant contributions to governance efficiency, staff satisfaction, and the successful adoption of digital technologies.

However, the research is not without its limitations. For instance, there is a notable lack of comparative analysis between transformational leadership and other leadership styles, such as transactional or laissez-faire leadership. A more nuanced comparative study could shed light on the relative strengths and weaknesses of transformational leadership in comparison to these other styles, particularly in the complex and ever-evolving landscape of higher education.

Additionally, while the studies cover a broad range of cultural contexts, there is room for a deeper exploration of how cultural factors influence the adoption and effectiveness of transformational leadership. Given the significant role cultural nuances play in shaping leadership dynamics, a more detailed examination of these factors could further enhance the applicability and relevance of the research findings.

Another area where the existing research falls short is in its focus on the theoretical implications of transformational leadership, with less attention paid to practical implementation strategies. There is a clear need for future research to include more case studies or action research that details the real-world processes and challenges involved in implementing transformational leadership practices within university settings.

Looking ahead, future research directions should include a more comprehensive comparison of different leadership styles to provide a clearer understanding of the most effective practices for various contexts. Additionally, there is a need for further exploration into the cultural dimensions of leadership in higher education, examining how cultural values, norms, and practices influence the perception, adoption, and effectiveness of transformational leadership across different regions. Finally, more practical, application-oriented research is necessary to navigate the challenges and strategies for implementing transformational leadership within higher education institutions, with case studies documenting both successful and unsuccessful attempts at implementation.

In conclusion, while the existing body of research offers valuable insights into the role of transformational leadership in higher education, there is a clear opportunity for a more nuanced approach that encompasses comparative, culturally sensitive, and practical implementation-focused research. Such an approach could significantly enrich the existing knowledge base, providing a more comprehensive understanding of effective leadership practices in the dynamic field of higher education.

6. Conclusion

The systematic review unearthed a nuanced understanding of transformational leadership within the academic realm of Xi'an, China, highlighting the pivotal role played by both individual traits and the broader organizational milieu. The significant correlations found between spiritual intelligence, mindfulness, and transformational leadership behaviors accentuate the criticality of personal growth and self-awareness in leadership efficacy. These findings are in line with previous research that underscores the importance of inner resources for effective leadership [10]

Moreover, the organizational context, characterized by factors such as justice, structure alignment, and social responsibility, was found to significantly influence the manifestation of transformational leadership. This resonates with the work of [10], who have long argued for the interdependence of leadership behaviors and organizational culture. The mediating role of job satisfaction in this dynamic further suggests that the well-being and contentment of lecturers are foundational to their capacity to engage in transformational leadership, a notion supported by the findings of [8].

In discussing these findings, it becomes evident that transformational leadership in higher education is not merely a product of inherent personal qualities but also a reflection of the surrounding organizational environment. This dual focus on individual development and organizational culture aligns with the holistic approach to leadership advocated by scholars like [7] and highlights the need for university administrations to create ecosystems that not only nurture but also leverage the potential of lecturers to lead transformational.

The conclusion drawn from this review posits transformational leadership as a complex interplay between the lecturer's personal attributes and the institutional framework within which they operate. It suggests a model for fostering transformational leadership that integrates the cultivation of intrinsic qualities such as mindfulness and spiritual intelligence with the development of a supportive, justice-oriented organizational culture. This comprehensive approach offers a strategic pathway for higher education institutions aiming to develop transformational leaders capable of navigating the multifaceted challenges of modern academia.

For future research, this review recommends a longitudinal examination of the identified factors' impact on transformational leadership to understand their temporal dynamics better. It also calls for an exploration of cultural specificities that may influence these relationships in diverse educational settings, thereby broadening the scope of the current findings. Qualitative inquiries into the lived experiences of transformational leaders in academia could further enrich the quantitative data, offering a more rounded perspective on effective leadership in higher education.

Through these recommendations, the review not only contributes to the existing body of knowledge on transformational leadership in higher education but also sets the stage for more nuanced and culturally sensitive future inquiries into this vital area of study.

References

- [1]. N. Delener, "Leadership excellence in higher education: Present and future," *The Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, 2013.
- [2]. G. Pérez-Ortega, G. L. Jiménez-Valdés, and G. Romo-Morales, "Caracterización del liderazgo transformacional de los directivos de instituciones de educación superior," 2017.
- [3]. H. Antonopoulou, C. Halkiopoulos, O. Barlou, and G. N. Beligiannis, "Transition from educational leadership to e-leadership: A data analysis report from TEI of Western Greece," *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 2019.
- [4]. F. Nurtjahjani, N. Noermijati, D. Hadiwidjojo, and D. W. Irawanto, "Transformational leadership in higher education: A study in Indonesian universities," 2020.
- [5]. V. R. Handoko and A. I. Rochim, "If building commitment for knowledge-based decision to create quality management: The role of transformational leadership in higher education governance good in developing countries: A literature review," *Public Policy and Administration Research*, 2020.
- [6]. H. Antonopoulou, C. Halkiopoulos, O. Barlou, and G. N. Beligiannis, "Leadership types and digital leadership in higher education: Behavioural data analysis from the University of Patras in Greece," *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 2020.
- [7]. J. L. Howell, "Transformational leadership qualities among students within doctoral higher education leadership programs," 2020.
- [8]. H. Antonopoulou, C. Halkiopoulos, O. Barlou, and G. N. Beligiannis, "Transformational leadership and digital skills in higher education institutes: During the COVID-19 pandemic," 2021.
- [9]. A. Zulfqar, M. Valcke, U. Quraishi, and G. Devos, "Developing academic leaders: Evaluation of a leadership development intervention in higher education," *SAGE Open*, 2021.
- [10]. A. Carvalho, H. Alves, and J. Leitão, "What research tells us about leadership styles, digital transformation, and performance in state higher education?" *International Journal of Educational Management*, 2022.