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Abstract

In this paper, prediction model consists of two parts is presented. The first is three factors of the Fama and French model (FF) at the micro level to forecasting the return of the portfolios in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange (SASE) and the second is Value Based Management (VBM) model of decision-making on the basis of expectations of shareholders and portfolio investors to take the investment decision and the behaviour of stock price using an accurate modern technique in forecasting Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). This study examined monthly data relating to common stocks from the listed companies of Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange from January 2007 to December 2011. The results from this study indicate that ANN technique can be used in predicting the stock portfolios returns, the investment decision and the behaviour of stock price.
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1. Introduction

Forecasting stock exchange prices and making the investment decision are a significant financial issue, which has been receiving increased attention in the last few years.

Different techniques are being used in the trading community for Forecasting stock exchange prices and making the investment decision. In recent years, the new Concept of the neural networks has emerged. One of these, the Artificial Neural Network (ANN), is able to create forecasts with significant predictive ability. ANN has been successfully applied in a variety of business fields including accounting [1], economics [2], finance [3], management information systems [4], marketing [5], and production management [6].

In this context, the aim of this study is to predict the stock return, making the investment decision and determine whether the predictive power of stock price can be improved in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange (SASE) by using the Artificial Neural Networks technique (ANN).

Moreover, this study explores the efficiency of Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange. If the attempts to improve the predicting power of stock price in (SASE) using the ANN technique made the market inefficient, then there are two possibilities. This inefficiency may be due to the fact that it is an emerging market. Alternatively, the predicating power of stock return in (SASE) cannot be improved by using this specific technique (ANN).

In order to achieve the aims of this study, the following objectives were set: (1) Determining the accuracy of computer-based information systems in predicting stock prices movement for companies traded in SASE; (2) Specifying a model that may predict the stock return in SASE by applying the Fama and French (FF) model three factors at the micro level by using AAN. (3) Test the Value Based Management (VBM) model of decision-making on the basis of expectations of shareholders and portfolio investors in SASE.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section two describes the literature review, while section three shows methodology of ANN system. Section four lists the results, and finally the last section presents the conclusion.

2. Literature review

This section presents the literature review in prediction stock exchange. Kim and Han used an ANN modified by Genetic Algorithm (GA) to predict the stock price index [7]. They concluded that the GA approach outperformed the conventional models. Kuo et al used a genetic algorithm base fuzzy neural network in the Taiwan stock market to measure the qualitative effects on the stock price [8]. Quek and Cheng used ANFIS and Neuro-Fuzzy network for forecasting investors in the US Stock Exchange [9], the findings indicate that ANFIS is effective for predicting stock prices in the US Stock Exchange. Abraham, Ramos, and Han used a genetic programming technique based on Multi-Expression programming (MEP) in order to forecast for two stock indexes [10], the results indicate that MEP is a novel and promising technique for function approximation.
problems. MEP technique gives the lowest MAP values for Nasdaq-100 index of NASDAQ Stock Market SM and the S&P CNX NIFTY stock index. Yamashita, et al utilized artificial neural networks (ANNs) for financial market applications [11]. They showed that multi-branch artificial neural networks (MBNNs) could have higher representation and generalization ability than conventional NNs. They investigate the accuracy of prediction of TOPIX (Tokyo Stock Exchange Prices Indexes) using MBNNs. Using the TOPIX related values in time series and other information, MBNNs can learn the characteristics of time series and predict the TOPIX values of the next day. Several simulations were carried out in order to compare the proposed predictor using MBNNs with those using conventional NNs. The results show that the proposed method can have higher accuracy of the prediction.

Trinkle tested ANFIS and neural network to forecast the annual excess returns of the three publicly traded companies [12]. The predictive ability of these two techniques is compared with an autoregressive moving average (ARMA) model, the results show that the ANFIS and neural network techniques are able to create forecasts with significant predictive ability. Afolabi and Olatoyosi used Kohonen Self Organising Map (SOM) and hybrid Kohonen SOM for forecasting stock price, the results explain that the hybrid Kohonen self-organizing map is power predation than the other techniques [13]. Chang and Liu improved a Takagi–Sugeno–Kang (TSK) type fuzzy rule-based system for forecasting Taiwan Stock Exchange (TSE) price [14], the TSK fuzzy model efficiently forecasts stock price with accuracy close to 97.6% in TSE index and 98.08% in Media Tek. Abbasi and Aboueie investigated the current movement of stock price of the Iran Khodro Corporation at Tehran Stock Exchange by using an Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) [15], the findings of the research demonstrate that the movement of stock price can be forecast with a low level of error. Gursesen and Kayakutlu investigated neural network models like GARCH-DAN2 and EGARCH-DAN2, and compared these models in two regards: MSE and MAD to forecast Istanbul Stock Exchange (ISE XU10) [16]. Shamsuddin and Sallehuddin developed a hybrid Neuro-Fuzzy with ANFIS to predict daily price of the Kuala Lumpur Composite Index (KLCI) [17], the results show that ANFIS method is competent in forecasting the KLCI compared to ANN. Atsalakis and Valavanis applied Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) to find the stock price prediction model [18]. The results show that ANFIS are able to prediction the next day price of stock exchange. Sutheebanjard and Premchaiswadi have predicted the stock exchange of Thailand index movement [19]. Currently, there are two stock markets in Thailand; the stock exchange of Thailand (SET) and the market for alternative investment (MAI). This paper focuses on the movement of the stock exchange of Thailand index (SET Index). The back propagation neural network (BPNN) technology was employed in prediction the SET index. An experiment was conducted by using data of 124 trading days from 2 July 2004 to 30 December 2004. The data were divided into two groups: 53 days for BPNN training and 71 days for testing. The experimental results show that the BPNN successfully predicts the SET Index with less than 2% error. The BPNN also achieves a lower prediction error when compared with the adaptive evolution strategy, but a higher prediction error when compared with the (1+1) evolution strategy. Naeini et al have used two kinds of neural networks, a feed forward multi-layer perceptron (MLP) and an Elman recurrent network, are used to predict a company’s stock value based on its stock share value history [20]. The experimental results show that the application of MLP neural network is more promising in predicting stock value changes rather than Elman recurrent network and linear regression method. Boyacioglu and Avci they investigate the predictability of stock
market return using Adaptive Network-Based Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) was investigated [21]. The objective of this study was to determine whether an ANFIS algorithm is capable of accurately predicting stock market return. They model and predict the return on stock price index of the Istanbul Stock Exchange (ISE) using ANFIS. Six macroeconomic variables and three indices as input variables were made use of and it was reported that experimental results reveal that the model successfully forecasts the monthly return of ISE National 100 Index with an accuracy rate of 98.3%. Olatunji et al have presented an artificial neural network based model for predicting the Saudi Arabia stock market [22]. The proposed model has been tested on three different company selected as the major determinants of Saudi stock market. The results indicated that the proposed ANN model predicts the next day closing price stock market value with a very low RMSE down to 1.8174, very low MAD down to 18.2835; very low MAPE of down to 1.6476 and very high correlation coefficient of up to 99.9% for the test set.

3. Methodology

3.1 Introduction

In this study a model that can predict the stock returns in SASE will be investigated by applying the Fama and French (FF) three factors model using ANN. The three factors of the FF model are the market return, size and book-to-market ratio [23][24]. Then applying second model for Value Based Management (VBM) is a new methodology to take investment decision on the basis of expectations of shareholders and portfolio investors. The VBM model contain into four factors weighted average cost of capital, actual profitability of investments, the expected return on an investment and required return on invested capital. However in this system there are two stages first applying the FF model and using the output as one of input in the second stage VBM model.

3.2 Data Description

The period of this study extended from January 2007 to December 2012, using monthly stock prices for corporations listed in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange (SASE). The source of all the data used in this study is the website of the Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange. Therefore, the number of observations was 60 [25]. There were sixty monthly observations for each portfolio, divided into two parts. The first one contains the first 48 observations, which represent the training period from 2007 to 2010, while the last 12 observations (twelve months in 2011) represent the test period.

3.3 Monthly Return

The monthly return calculated in the following equation:

\[ R_{it} = \frac{(P_{ti} - P_{it-1})}{P_{it-1}} \]  

(1)

where \( R_{it} \) is the monthly return for a stock.

\( P_{it} \) is the end of the month stock price
3.4 Forming the Dependent Variables Portfolios

This study covers all the companies in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange; therefore, I divide the exchange companies upon 50% breakpoint for size at each year into two size groups: B for Big & S for Small. Then, each size group divided into three book-to-market group upon two breakpoints 30% and 70% at each year. After that, six size portfolios (B/H, B/M, B/L, S/H, S/M, S/L) are formed from the two size portfolios. Table 1 below illustrates the six portfolios performed [26][27].

Table 1. The Six Portfolios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>BOOK TO MARKET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Above 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 50%</td>
<td>Big / High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 50%</td>
<td>Small / High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Forming the Independent Factors Portfolios:

From the six portfolios formed above in the dependent variable we construct the independent variables RSMB (small minus big) portfolio returns which is defined as $RSMB = \frac{(RSL + RSM + RSH - RBL - RBM - RBH)}{3}$, and the HML (high minus low) portfolio returns which are defined as $RHML = \frac{(RSH + RBH - RSL - RBL)}{2}$. Also a value weighted portfolio Market is formed which contains all the firms in these portfolios [26][27].

3.6. Equations

The equations of the three factors model of Fama and French are [26]:

$$R_i - R_f = \alpha_i + \beta_i (R_M - R_f) + \gamma_i \text{RSMB} + \delta_i \text{RHML} + \epsilon_i$$

(2)

The dependent variable is $R_i - R_f$: the weighted average return for all the companies in stock market for six portfolio which are the following: (1) RHB, which is Portfolio return for companies that are high Book-to-Market level and big group; (2) RHS, which is Portfolio return for companies that are high Book-to-Market level and small group; (3) RMB, which is Portfolio return for companies that are medium Book-to-Market level and big group; (4) RMS, which is Portfolio return for companies that are medium Book-to-Market level and small group; (5) RLB, which is Portfolio return for companies that are low Book-to-Market level and big group and finally (6) RLS, which is Portfolio return for companies that are low Book-to-Market level and small group. The independent variables include the following. (1) RM: the market return portfolio is a sum over or aggregate portfolio of all individual investors, lending and borrowing will cancel out. In other words, it equals the entire wealth of the state economy [28]. The methodology of Fama and French [26] for $(R_m - R_f)$ is the weighted average return of all the stocks in the sample. (2) RSMB: one of first and famous anomalies was size effect,
which emphasizes that small size stocks had higher risk adjusted return than the stocks of the big size stocks [29]. The methodology of Fama and French [26] for RSMB is explained by the difference between the return portfolios of small and big of stocks, by this equation: \[ \text{RSMB} = \frac{(R_{SL}+R_{SM}+R_{SH}-R_{BL}-R_{BM}-R_{BH})}{3} \]. (3)

RHML: another famous anomaly was book-to-Market effect, which emphasizes that low market value stocks had poor prospects and must be penalized by higher risk adjusted return. [29]. The methodology of Fama and French [26], for RHML is explained by the difference between the return on the portfolios of high and low-book-to-market stocks, through this equation: \[ \text{RHML} = \frac{(R_{SH}+R_{BH}-R_{SL}-R_{BL})}{2} \].

The model of decision-making on the basis of expectations of shareholders and portfolio investors Following the methodology of Sherstneva and Kostyhin [30] the decision will depend on the expectation of growth, fall or speculative fall of the stock price, and also depending on the expectation of invest, disinvest or dividend of the shareholder. A balance of the following four indicators is used:

- Weighted average cost of capital (WACC): is a weighted cost from financing the capital of any company from its different resources (Equity, Debt, Preferred Stock, etc)
- Actual Return of investments (Ract): is the real rate of return that gained from holding an asset during a specific period on time.
- Expected Investment Return (Rexp): is the mean value of its probability distribution of return.
- Required investment Return (Rreq): is the return to compensate the investors for the risk premium, they are exposing on. I will illustrate the methodology of measuring those variables:

**Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC):**

\[ WACC = K_s \cdot W_s + K_d \cdot W_d \cdot (1 - T) + K_p \cdot W_p \]  

(3)

where: \( K_s \) = the cost of equity; \( W_s \) = weight of equity; \( K_d \) = cost of debt; \( W_d \) = weight of debt; \( T \) = corporate tax rate; \( K_p \) = cost of preferred stock; \( W_p \) = weight of preferred stock.

**Actual profitability of investments (R_act):**

To calculate Ract, ROIC is used as follows:

\[ \text{Ract} = \text{ROIC} \]

\[ \text{ROIC} = \text{Return On Invested Capital} \]

\[ \text{Ract} = \frac{\text{NOPLAT}}{\text{IC}} \]  

(4)

where \( \text{NOPLAT} \) = Net Operating Profit Less Adjusted Taxes; and \( \text{IC} \) = Invested Capital.

**The expected return on an investment (R_exp):**

For calculation of Rexp, the following formula is used
\[ R_{\text{exp}} = \frac{D}{P_0} + Q \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( D \) = dividend; \( P_0 \) = share price; and \( Q \) = dividend growth.

**Required return on invested capital (R_{\text{req}})**

The model of fama and french is proposed to calculate the R-requird

\[ R_{\text{req}} = \text{FF} \]

\( \text{FF} = \text{Fama and French Model} \)

\[ R_{\text{req}} = \alpha_i + \beta_i(R_m - R_f) + \gamma_i \text{RSMB} + \delta_i \text{RHML} + \epsilon_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \((R_m - R_f) = \text{Risk premium}; R_m = \text{the return rate of a market benchmark}; R_f = \text{the rate of return for a risk-free security}; \text{RSMB Size effect} = \frac{(R_{SL}+R_{SM}+R_{SH}-R_{BL}-R_{BM}-R_{BH})}{3}; \)

\( \text{RHML Book-to-Market effect} = \frac{(R_{SH}+R_{BH}-R_{SL}-R_{BL})}{2}; \beta_i = \text{beta of the company’s shares}. \)

Table 2: The model of decision-making on the basis of expectations of shareholders and portfolio investors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALANCE OF INDICATORS</th>
<th>Increasing shareholders wealth carried out at the expense of:</th>
<th>Share price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WACC (&lt;) R-act (&gt;)\ R-exp (&gt;)\ R-req</td>
<td>investments</td>
<td>growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC (&lt;) R-act (&lt;) R-exp (&lt;) R-req</td>
<td>disinvestment</td>
<td>fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC (&lt;) R-act (&lt;) R-exp (&gt;)\ R-req</td>
<td>investments</td>
<td>Speculative fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-act (&gt;)\ R-req</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC (&gt;)\ R-act (&gt;)\ R-exp (&gt;)\ R-req</td>
<td>dividends</td>
<td>growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC (&gt;)\ R-act (&lt;) R-exp (&lt;) R-req</td>
<td>disinvestment</td>
<td>fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC (&gt;)\ R-act (&lt;) R-exp (&gt;)\ R-req</td>
<td>dividends</td>
<td>fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-act (&gt;)\ R-req</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows that the model of decision for the expectations of shareholder and portfolio investors the decision will depend of the balance of four indicators. The expectation of the growth, fall or Speculative Fall of the stock price depend on the \( R \) real and \( R \) expected:
Growth: If the actual or real return bigger than the expected we predict the stock price to grow,

Fall: If the actual return is less than the expected return we expect the stock price to fall,

Speculative fall: If the expected return is more than the real return but both of them is larger than the required return, the result will speculative fall.

The following three paragraphs show how the expectation of invest, disinvest or dividend of the shareholder has taken:

Disinvest: if the real return is bigger than the weighted average cost of capital WACC which encourage investing in this company, but still the expected & real return is less the required return which means that this portfolio will not compensate the investor for the risk he will exposed to. Therefore the result will be disinvest.

Dividend: If the real rate of return is less than WACC, so any money spend in this company projects will not cover its cost of capital, so it is preferred to distribute the profit to the investors and let them invest their money in economically profitable companies instead in investing it in a loser projects. Therefore the result will be dividend.

Invest: If the real rate of return is bigger than WACC, so any money spend in this company projects will cover its cost of capital, so it is preferred to keep the money inside the company as a retained earning instead of distributing the profit to the investors because this company is economically profitable. In addition the real rate of return was bigger than the required return. Therefore the result will be to invest.

The output of Fama and French model - the predicted required return ($R_{req}$) – is used as an input for the VBM model. According to this model, we will decide if the stock price expectation will be growth or fall or speculative fall and to decide if the shareholders will invest, disinvest or will distribute dividend for each portfolio. Therefore, the inputs are: WACC, R actual, R expected and predicted R required and the outputs are shareholder investing decision and the direction of the shares price movement for each portfolio.

4. Result

The FF model three factors have been applied using Matlab software after normalizing the data, using the following techniques: (1) Logistic regression method (LR). (2) Different Neural Networks (NNs) types; namely, Feed Forward Network (NEWFF), Elman networks (NEWELM), Cascaded Forward Back Propagation (NEWCF), Radial Basis Networks (NEWRB), a feed-forward input time-delay back propagation network (NEWFFTD), a distributed time delay neural network (NEWDTDNN) and a fitting network (NEWFIT) [31][32][33][34][35]. The ANN parameters and topology are illustrated in Table 3. (3) An Ensemble of the above Neural Network techniques. (4) An ensembled Neuro-Fuzzy (NF) system with 30 models, each having a different number of membership functions [36]. (5) Finally, all the ensembles were combined together using weighted average which is set in the training phase, the results were divided into 10 bins, then the standard
deviation was taken for each bin. Then the weights are set inversely to the standard deviation. The lower the deviation is, the higher the weight will be.

Table 3. ANN Parameters and Topology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>Topology</th>
<th>Train/valid</th>
<th>Training epochs</th>
<th>Training function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEWFF</td>
<td>3-5-1</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Levenberg-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ELM</td>
<td>3-5-1</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Gradient descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWCF</td>
<td>3-5-1</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Levenberg-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW RB</td>
<td>3-5-1</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Radial Bases Functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW FFTD</td>
<td>3-5-1</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Levenberg-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW DTDNN</td>
<td>3-5-1</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Levenberg-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW FIT</td>
<td>3-5-1</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Levenberg-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 4 and 5 show that the best result for standard deviation was Average Weighted Method (the average of the eight above portfolios) for all portfolios training and testing. Standard Deviation represents the difference between the actual values and the predicted ones.

Table 4. Training Results for the Different ANNs and Average Weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAM: Training</th>
<th>RHB</th>
<th>RHS</th>
<th>RMB</th>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>RLB</th>
<th>RLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWFF</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ELM</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW CF</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-AVERAGE</td>
<td>8.3E-6</td>
<td>6.8E-6</td>
<td>8.2E-6</td>
<td>6.9E-6</td>
<td>4.5E-6</td>
<td>5.8E-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW RB</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW FFTD</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW DTDNN</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW FIT</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHT-AVE</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 1, 2 and 3 (RHB, RHS and RMB testing portfolios) illustrate that the prediction power was very weak because the points were too far from the prediction line, which represent the predicted values or theoretical values. This means that the prediction accuracy is weak. On the other hand, Figures 4, 5 and 6 (RMS, RLB, RLS testing) illustrate that the prediction power was very strong because the points were near and around the
prediction line, which represents the predicted values or theoretical values. Consequently, the prediction accuracy is strong.

The second stage using the Value Based Management (VBM) model of decision-making on the basis of expectations of shareholders and portfolio investors to take the investment decision and the behaviour of stoke price using Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). Table 6 shows that the best result for standard deviation was Average Weighted Method (the average of the eight above portfolios) for shareholder decision and the share price behaviour for all portfolios training and testing. According the methodology we followed, the figures were divided into three parts: Part 1- between 0.5 and -0.5 which is means this area for Dividend.

Part 2- Above 0.5 which means that this area for Invest. Part 3- Below -0.5 which is means this area for disinvest. The results showed that for the shareholder decision according to Figures 7,8,9,10,11 and 12 all the following portfolios (RHB, RHS, RMB, RMS, RLB and RLS testing) predictions were accurate and the decisions was Invest and Dividend only. On other hand for the share price according to the Figures 13 and 14 the following portfolios (RHB and RHS) predictions were accurate and the expectation was fall in prices. While the prediction accuracy for growth was not always perfect because there expectation were wrong. Also, according to Figures 15 (RMB) the predictions accuracy for growth and fall were not always perfect because there expectation were wrong. On the other side, Figures 16 and 18 portfolios (RMS and RLS) predictions were accurate for growth and fall expectation. Finally, according to Figures 17 portfolio (RLB) predictions were accurate for growth while it was not perfect for fall.

The ANN model can be adapted by investors in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange because its improve the level of predicating accuracy for stock market return, investment decision and the movement of stock price in the future in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange (SASE). This means that we can depend on ANN model in a developing market like the Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange (SASE) for the prediction of stock return, investment decision and the movement of stock price.

Table 5. Testing Results for the Different ANNs and Average Weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAM: Testing</th>
<th>RHB</th>
<th>RHS</th>
<th>RMB</th>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>RLB</th>
<th>RLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWFF</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWELM</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWCF</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-AVERAGE</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWRB</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWFFTD</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWDTDNN</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWFIT</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHT-AVE</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Testing and training Results for shareholder and share price using the Average Weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ave-Weight Shareholder RMS</th>
<th>Ave-Weight Shareprice RMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Train</td>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHB</td>
<td>0.2702</td>
<td>0.2596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHS</td>
<td>0.1430</td>
<td>0.1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMB</td>
<td>0.2929</td>
<td>0.1885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>0.1260</td>
<td>0.0919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>0.1889</td>
<td>0.1673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1. RHB testing results using average weight technique.
Fig.2. RHS testing results using average weight technique.
Fig.3. RMB testing results using average weight technique.
Fig.4. RMS testing results using average weight technique.
Fig. 5. RLB testing results using average weight technique.

Fig. 6. RLS testing results using average weight technique.

Fig. 7. RHB testing results using average weight technique for shareholder.

Fig. 8. RHS testing results using average weight technique for shareholder.

Fig. 9. RMB testing results using average weight technique for shareholder.

Fig. 10. RMS testing results using average weight technique for shareholder.
Fig. 11. RLB testing results using average weight technique for shareholder.

Fig. 12. RLS testing results using average weight technique for shareholder.

Fig. 13. RHB testing results using average weight technique for share price.

Fig. 14. RHS testing results using average weight technique for share price.

Fig. 15. RMB testing results using average weight technique for share price.

Fig. 16. RMS testing results using average weight technique for share price.
5. Conclusions

Predicting stock market return, investment decision and the movement of stock price are a hard task, but Artificial Neural Network (ANN) provides the ability to forecast them. This is a new and emerging area; there is a considerably large domain to use Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for predicting more accurate stock return as well as predict whether it is best to buy, hold or sell shares of stock market.

This paper investigated two models the FF model three factors and Value Based Management (VBM) model of decision-making on the basis of expectations of shareholders and portfolio investors using ANN to prediction the stock market return, investment decision and the movement of stock price in the future in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange (SASE). The results show that the ANN techniques are able to generate forecasts with significant predictive ability. The ANN can improve the investor’s prediction for the stock price and their decision in SASE. The major limitation encountered in this study was related to the number of the companies in Saudi Arabia Stock Exchange. This limitation decided the number of companies in the portfolios, especially the big size portfolios. When applying the same methodology of Fama and French, the numbers of the companies in some of the big size portfolios are few companies.

The future work involves deploying the electronic financial system adviser for investors with non-financial expertise using FF model and VBM model with filed study.

Reference


